

TARSET AND GREYSTEAD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN QUESTIONNAIRE

RESIDENT'S WRITTEN REPLIES TO OPEN QUESTIONS, AND THOSE QUESTIONS WHERE OTHER VIEWS WERE INVITED IN LIEU OF OR IN ADDITION TO TICKED CHOICES

A1 What type of housing do you think is required in Tarset and Greystead?

Important building type suits surrounding.

Smaller housing in look and style of elsewhere.

Live / work units.

Affordable housing for young people.

Ugly building in Greenhaugh - used as "noticeboard" - good site for 2/3 bed house.

Any local needs housing/modern eco

Local needs housing.

It depends on demand ?

None at present. If needed in future would prefer cottages or converted buildings

Not sure if any is "required". If there is a need, development must be sympathetic to the area

No new housing required. No alterations required to existing houses.

No alteration of present housing required; no (underlined) new houses should be built

No housing is necessary in Tarset there is enough housing.

Affordable rented accommodation for younger and older.

NONE There are currently five houses on the market that we know of and four that we know of to rent.

Affordable rentable within the Parish

Not sure this can answered usefully - merely impressionistic

Conversions should be for residential rather than holiday lets

Applications for new housing need to be considered individually in respect of location not size/type

LIVE-WORK CONVERSIONS/NEW BUILD IN SETTLEMENTS AND ELSEWHERE

Houses for downsizing into as population ages

Don't feel any are required

All important but they already exist. Not clear whether you mean "extra" housing

This assumes we need more housing

Question A1 presupposes that "houses are needed" in the area. This is not necessarily so

Depends on location - what is appropriate for one settlement may not be for another.

A2 If new homes are to be built within the Parish of Tarset and Greystead, what homes should be given priority?

Council housing

NO second homes.

Low cost housing for locals. Retirement homes for people to downsize into.

Local need and tourist accommodation

No more second homes

As before, I think it is important that low cost housing is not built where there are few local amenities and no (underlined) public transport

No new homes should be built

NO (underlined) new houses should be built

I state again there is no requirement for new housing

No priority for style as long as tidy and energy efficient and for rent as well as sale.

NONE last one (i.e. tourist accommodation) absolutely not

Again my answer would only be very subjective, probably enough tourism acc now.

We have enough tourist accommodation

Housing with workspace attached eg craft studios, workshops, office

Live-work units should form part of the mix

Sheltered accommodation may be required by aging population but don't know demographics

None. NB How slow is the market in the area - too few jobs

Make sure existing accommodation is fully occupied before allowing new developments (i.e. houses for sale for ages)

NO to Second homes, Flats/maisonettes, Tourist accommodation

NO Second homes, Flats/maisonettes, Tourist accommodation

A4 Are there any specific locations you think are suitable for new houses?

Greystead

Greenhaugh village centre. Parish side of Donkleywood.

Greenhaugh village.

In the villages.

No

Periphery of Greenhaugh heading towards school.

Leaving the village towards the school if suitable on the left or right of the road.

Infill on brownfield sites in Greenhaugh.

Infill sites within Greenhaugh

Greenhaugh - planning applications already submitted. Lanehead - The Hott - Gatehouse

Greenhaugh

The XXXXX's garage in Greenhaugh would make a lovely two story house.

Greenhaugh

Centre of Greenhaugh (What use does the "Notice Board Building" have ?

Within areas of existing buildings - but not ending up with ribbon development. Edge of roads, tracks - not just dotted in fields.

Mr XXXXX's and Mr XXXXX's new planning at Greenhaugh.

Lanehead Greystead Greenhaugh

Lanehead, Greenhaugh and Greystead.

No

Greenhaugh

Fringes of Greenhaugh and Lanehead.

Not sure

Not resident in the district long enough to make an informed comment.

Probably Greenhaugh.

Anywhere so long as design sympathetic to the surroundings.

Think it entirely depends on type of development

Entirely dependent on sympathetic nature of development

Not sure

No. No locations are suitable, as this is a beautiful and sparsely populated rural area

None (except perhaps the most spoilt areas of Kielder water and forest - but this wouldn't be very rewarding for the occupants)

No

No. I feel new houses can ruin the view of the parish.

Don't know.

Within the above settlements

Possibly in and around Greenhaugh

Note: 3a above notes: yes to housing in Greenhaugh, no to housing in Lanehead

Greenhaugh

Greenhaugh

All areas

Our Field

Continue with linear in-fill in settlements designated in the LDF and in dispersed clusters to be identified in the plan (Greystead, Donkleywood etc)

NO

No

Maybe Lanehead has suffered enough lately - Infill in Greenhaugh might be best. However it would not be a good idea to double the size of the village.

NO

I believe they should extend existing groups and not be scattered over the landscape

NO

Greenhaugh

The Birks, Tarsset

The Birks area

I can't think of any

Greenhaugh village

None

No

Redundant farm buildings but only for residents not tourism

Greenhaugh, conversions of unused buildings

No

Within the bounds of Greenhaugh and Lanehead areas

Within the boundary of existing settlements

Greenhaugh

Greenhaugh

Surrounds of Greenhaugh Area around Diamond Cottage

settlement infilling or minor extensions to existing settlement footprint

Infilling in villages and small developments around villages

Millionaires Row

Village centre Greenhaugh

Greenhaugh

Greenhaugh

Conversion of derelict buildings

Conversion of derelict buildings

None

Periphery of Greenhaugh could take a couple of extra units

Not particularly

Certain of the so called "eclectic mix" could be demolished as not in keeping with NP. Proper stone built or at least stone faced plus slates to replace them.

Greenhaugh

No. We have had more than our fair share and more than what is needed given the stock for sale.

Decisions should be made according to what is appropriate for each settlement and applications decided on individual merit. It is also important that existing accommodation is at capacity before allowing new builds - otherwise risk of favouring development for no reason

Between Lanehead and Greenhaugh

Reference A3a Yes to Greenhaugh No to Lanehead

Limited housing should be allowed in Greenhaugh and Lanehead - less than in current application. Limited housing should be allowed elsewhere in the Parish. Semi-detached or small terrace in any hamlet without overwhelming or transforming the nature of any small settlement. eg ? 1-2 cottages/ semi @ The Hott. Small terrace, 2-4 semis' @ Greenhaugh, small development at Lanehead.

In fill where possible within existing settlements.

In close proximity to existing settlements, preferably in-fill.

If new houses are to be built, settlements seem the best answer, but development within them must be closely controlled to avoid too much diversity.

Some (a small number) perhaps carefully designed within settlements existing.

No new houses.

HEXHAM

A5 Are there any specific locations where houses should not be built?

Sidwood or other areas of particular beauty.

Areas where nature and wildlife are in abundance.

Only to form a hamlet.

Outside existing settlements unless specific work-related reason.

Everywhere

Any open area - building should be in village location.

Take each application on its merit.

Lanehead

Green fields out with existing settlements.

Open countryside

No housing in middle of fields. No "infill" No houses should "dominate" surroundings.

Not where it will destroy views and skylines (or not next to me !)

Depends on need or usage. NOT flood plains or woodland.

Woodlands and flood plains and open countryside.

Tarset & Greystead Parish.

Development which is sympathetic to surroundings should be considered.

Lanehead

No

Where they extend the boundaries of current building, compromise the integrity of area

Again - it should be evident to those considering development where would not be suitable

Not sure

Houses should not be built anywhere (see QA4), but particularly not in open spaces or anywhere where they will damage the beauty of the landscape.

All (in particular unspoilt fell, or places where other people already are settled

Anywhere

Anywhere within the national park & especially within a small village

None specifically - just none outside the settlements of Greenhaugh & Lanehead

Outside the above settlements

I think that Lanehead is already saturated

I think Lanehead should have no more new houses. The last new house on the main road through Lanehead is a monstrosity

Lanehead

In the vicinity of Lanehead

No

XXXXXs

Where existing residents object.

Integrity of field patterns and green spaces must be protected. No development should be permitted where a new cross-fell or cross field road would be required.

Everywhere

Most of the Parish

Looming over the valley, and anywhere they would be an eyesore.

Near the river

On farmland

Open fields adjacent to the road leading north out of Greenhaugh

In the greenfield sites adjacent to both Greenhaugh and Lanehead to avoid creating mini urban sprawl of these areas.

Only environmentally or historical sensitive areas.

Lanehead

Lanehead

Lanehead

Green field sites! Do an audit of redundant convertible buildings first

Shouldn't be built above the skyline - on top of hills! should blend with the landscape

No

No more in Lanehead

Tarset and Greystead Parish

Where they would stick out like a sore thumb!

No

No

New builds of more than 3 houses outside of a settlement

On highly visible locations, hill tops, valley sides

In places where obviously spoil open views

Lanehead

Enough new builds at Lanehead

Lanehead, Greystead, Greenhaugh, Gatehouses

It's a matter of scale. eg 2-3 houses in Greenhaugh OK, but 7 is not since it would change the character of the place.

They should not be built where existing residents make significant protests

Within the NP

Lanehead

Lanehead

Greenfield land and land which is currently unspoilt hillside. Should not build in places where they will be highly visible - especially the skyline

Greenfield sites. Ribbon development.

Ribbon developments sprawling between settlements / along roads.

Greenfield sites that should be retained for amenity.

Yes; all areas of open landscape in the parish.

Not where deterrent effect on landscape could occur.

No new houses.

Open fell & moorland

TARSET

A6 Are there any other comments you would like to make on the provision of housing?

It would be nice if there could be affordable housing for existing residents families. Instead of them growing up and having to move out of the area as none exists. also too many holiday cottages.

Housing design MUST NOT conflict with adjacent existing buildings. Modern, innovative design should be encouraged, but only where it does not clash with existing buildings.

Houses need to fit in with any adjoining houses.

Housing stock to be of traditional style stone / slate construction.

Presuming that current planning applications for Greenhaugh are approved, the settlements are now full. To extend even further will catastrophically dilute the character of the area. Any further development should be adapted to the site, NOT the site to the development.

The special characteristics of the National Park - sparse population and development - should be preserved. There are plenty of other more suitable locations to tackle Britain's alleged housing shortage. Tarsset and Greystead lacks suitable infrastructure and services to support more homes.

I don't feel I have sufficient knowledge of facts about population growth or decline, or, indeed, demand for housing locally to comment meaningfully. At the moment houses up for sale take ages to be sold. I think more facts about make-up of the area and future potential demands need to be made available to residents so they can decide what's best against that background of useful information.

Housing is required for young people - we need youth in our parish. Affordable housing would help them start off and keep them in the parish.

Any private house that is built frees another house.

Not just holiday

Building only on brownfield sites. Absolutely no more houses or development on land owned by Mr XXXXX.

ONLY provision of housing that is in keeping with existing architecture should be allowed, with the general approval of local residents.

Need local families to be able to afford to live here including young couples to be able to set up home. Some smaller houses for local single people to down size or retire to

How do we keep young people here? How do we get young families to live here ?

No more large detached houses that do not fit with local trends.

Any new housing should "fit" with existing in size, scale and mass and be built sympathetically to the environment. All new housing should HAVE to be highly energy efficient and use ground/air source heating.

It is important that new housing is in character with the surrounding buildings and "fits in" with similar materials, sizes and feel. Not modern brick "lego" houses or modern design using lots of glass/metal. Not small housing estates which will destroy or overwhelm the traditional villages.

All applications should be judged on merit. Not one box fits all.

This area desperately needs more housing.

I have answered NO to A3a and b as I have taken into account the applications currently pending. Also there are plenty of empty houses in Bellingham.

Future housing needs are covered in Bellingham

Development which allows younger people to stay or move to the area should be prioritised.

Homes for agricultural workers and trades people (i.e. with workshop/storage and parking) are required.

Should be typical to area unlike proposals in Greenhaugh where one is typical and the other is questionable.

Respect and privacy for existing housing/houses be given priority during the planning and building process.

I would like to know what the demand is. I can only see demand increasing if JOBS are provided in the area on self-employed/small businesses can open up from the area.

Housing should be appropriate to the location and should reflect actual needs. Additional (new) buildings should be in scale and without excessive infilling. In other words limited development.

How much need is there for more housing in this area ? There seems to be little movement in the market.

As mentioned above, small remote rural communities with NO amenities, NO public transport and expensive commuting costs are not the places to build low cost or shared ownership housing as they would be totally impractical

This is a remote community - housing provision must not override the essence of rural life

People needing sheltered, shared ownership low cost housing would find it very difficult living in an isolated community

The most unattractive types of house should be particularly avoided: all bungalows; pebbledash; houses with brown windows; and any other house whose appearance or size makes it inappropriate or damaging to the surrounding area

Affordable properties for families to safeguard school numbers

Affordable properties

I think it is important to try to preserve the area as it is. It's a special place because of what it currently is, there is a major concern that additional housing would irrevocably change the nature of the area.

There are enough houses already for sale in the parish. I therefore feel it's not necessary for people to have to build their own

I think sheltered accommodation & specially adapted houses is important in itself, but would be more suited to the towns with all the transport links & facilities

Make the decisions about provision of housing as democratic as possible, not just small groups. Try to involve those who do not readily join into the process

Housing should only be provided if there is a genuine need [last 2 words underlined]

I don't think the provision of more housing is necessary. There are already sufficient houses available to purchase

There are already sufficient houses to support local needs

There is not enough affordable housing for young and older. Also hardly any rented accommodation for sale. Not everyone can afford large detached houses that are for sale.

Affordable housing for young couples/families.

What's the point!

Housing should be in keeping with that already here not standing out like a sore thumb.

There needs to be some provision to allow older people to stay in the Parish if they wish, and to encourage younger residents to stay.

Updating and enlarging older housing stock, and conversions, are preferable to large scale developments. New housing must be driven by local need and tenure protected. There is little scope for affordable, sheltered or social housing without new investment in rural businesses infrastructure, etc.

I would like to know why someone with two houses already is given public monies to develop a third property for personal gain. Or are there monies to be repaid with interest to enable those who would like to be on the housing ladder a chance to buy. Maybe a Parish loan. Could the Parish to lender to enable a first time buyer to get on the property ladder. Seems to me that help is available to those who have and not to those who have not.

The old will make way for a new generation and the area will change.

It is hard to imagine that there is any local demand or need; anything that gets built will probably be in the price range of retirees and not any local workers, if there are any left.

I do not think there is any requirement for new housing in this area. Surely lessons must be learned from the tasteless building at Lanehead.

As the population grows and pressure on housing increases this will be best solved by high density housing in cities and towns. Empty low population areas will become rarer and will need preserving for future generations to enjoy. To this end any significant increases in local house building needs to be resisted.

As long as the population continues to rise we need more affordable housing. this means building will have to take place on areas previously protected. As long as the buildings are sustainable and highly energy efficient there should be no problem with this.

Priority should be given to local people, no more second home owners.

I cannot understand why eye sores like the big house at Lanehead are allowed to be built and someone who would like to alter their house is not allowed

How come that monstrosity has been passed through the planning process but when someone wants to build a traditional stone built cottage they are stopped !!!

I think it is really important that there is provision of new housing in our area. Otherwise the area will' die out'

Need to know the reality of the need before proceeding - hard facts rather than perceptions or impressions

There is no housing available at a suitable price for young people on these low incomes.

Any provision of new housing should be sensitive to the needs of both young and older residents, in keeping with the rural location and local materials and style and should not detract from the natural beauty of the local environment

More housing should contribute to the economic, environmental and social sustainability of the community

Between Greenhaugh and Redheugh Between Lanehead and Greenhaugh

Any provision of new housing should be in keeping with traditional styles but should embrace 21st century efficiency

By following the German way of allowing granny flats in gardens but tying them to the existing house by 106 (not for sale separately) planning may be used for stronger family ties

The provision of houses will be determined by 1) the demand for houses 2) If owners of land/redundant buildings are prepared to develop or sell their land/redundant buildings

Limited development in Greenhaugh but only as fill in not to develop open fields

Any newly built houses should be affordable for new people on the property ladder or to rent. I think it is extremely difficult for young people to be able to afford to live within the parish

Jobs are the priority Housing is not required if jobs are not available or it is too expensive to travel to areas where there is employment

All new housing should be built in a traditional style using stone and slate

All new buildings should be made traditional stone and slate to fit into the area!!!

No

No

There is a lack of smaller properties - most are larger family homes

Most houses are quite large and there is a lack of smaller houses

I had to buy a house in Bellingham because there was no sufficient housing in Greenhaugh

My son has bought a house in Bellingham because there is no suitable houses in Greenhaugh and has to travel to work

New housing etc to be in keeping with local buildings

Any new housing should be in keeping with the local houses, NOT totally different

because this is a long term plan provision for young people us(?) w/o families needs to be planned for however NEW Build is not a suitable solution to a beautiful like the NP which should be protected however IF existing buildings were converted there would be enough.

If new houses are allowed to be built in the Parish they should only be allowed in the curtilage of Greenhaugh.

Several empty or "hard to sell" houses recently suggest there is no great demand for extra housing.

The opinions of the local population should be not only consulted but also respected

If it aint broke don't mend it. There is no need for progress or development. So called Millionaire's Row is a real mess. The three houses either side of Tasset village Hall are all dreadful in style and fencing. The Heads development is a total disgrace

Housing must meet STRICTLY local needs and must not favour the developer's pocket

In terms of affordable housing, it should be included on accessibility criteria - i.e. cost of transport, etc as to whether it is a viable option. New housing should be of a size/type/appearance which is appropriate to their particular setting and all existing available housing should be in use before any new builds are allowed

I would particularly like to see houses suitable for people to start on the property ladder / more in with young families

Having had very restricted development in T & Greystead for many years there needs to be caution in new development. The government plan to transform planning legislation is likely to cause a 'free for all' with any mistakes lasting and blighting the countryside for years! - Don't let it happen in T & G. However affordable housing for those who live in the area is important so any neighbourhood plan needs to consider how it can allow limited development of rented / affordable housing in the community. Sympathetic conversion of redundant buildings for rent or to buy.

Yes to more housing in Greenhaugh and Lanehead and elsewhere in the parish but with strict limitations to avoid previous planning outrages such as the developments at Lanehead. Should be sympathetic to location both in number, size & style. Providing affordable social housing/ sheltered accommodation sounds worthy but in an area that is unable to support a viable shop or public transport we need to be realistic in our expectations.

Needs to be in line with local need.

Smaller houses, there is already an excess of large family homes - sufficient in my view to meet the current demand.

Consideration should be given to the conversion of existing buildings before new builds are considered, and the absurd NNP policy of not allowing redundant buildings outside settlements to be converted to residential use should be reversed. Housing types should reflect traditional types of housing in the area, i.e. houses and cottages, not bungalows, & developments such as the recent one at Lanehead should not be permitted as they contravene all the highly important criteria listed at C2 on this questionnaire.

No new-builds of any kind should be permitted; only sensitive conversions of existing buildings should be allowed.

No.

LETS NOT DESTROY WHAT WE HAVE GOT. BASED ON NEED TO THE FAMILY OF THE PROPERTY/ LAND OWNER IN A "NOT FOR PROFIT" SITUATION.....BUILD WITH CONSIDERATION (TO EVERYTHING and everybody)

B3 What type of home are you currently looking for?

Build

Self-build.

Self-build.

Also part rent/part purchase (2 or 3 bedrooms)

To buy and own or to rent

B6 What type of home are you likely to be looking for (in the next five years)?

Modern eco house.

Answer gave 'To rent' as alternative to 'To buy and own'

If I were to require a house, I would hope to rent/purchase a house that had already been built, rather than have a new house built. If there were none available, I would share with my family until one became available. If a situation were to arise in which there were not enough houses to go round, then people should move away. Building houses is a waste of resources, destroys the natural beauty of the valley, and causes an excess of people in an area which should be preserved as a wilderness. Moreover, if it is insisted upon that houses be built, then an attempt should be made to have them conform as closely as possible (whole 4-word phrase underlined)to the oldest and most traditional houses already here. House types to be avoided: bungalows, pebble-dash, brown windows, steel. Best types: stone & slate

Or part rent/part purchase

Grants should be given to those who wish to self-build

C2 How important is it that the Neighbourhood Plan aims to protect and enhance the quality of the built environment by promoting...

Housing that incorporates the above could be built into the hillside.

Not necessary to always use "stone and slate" but "modern materials to be used in the right setting.

Good design

C1. Highly important in Greenhaugh; Important in Greystead; Not Important in Lanehead.

Quality of materials.

Having specified Highly Important the current provision and planning contradicts this particularly at Lanehead.

Line two contains two very different criteria. Modern design should also be considered

Traditional style is not important - scale is very important.

Scale rather than style is important.

Enforceable time limits to complete approved developments

Question C1 is too late in being asked.

It is a pity that development to date has ossified 19thC. building styles.

Materials for building should be sourced from within the UK where possible and be sustainable

Traditional styles not important but appropriate scale highly important

Not necessarily "traditional style", but modest feel

Traditional local building materials should only be slate roofs stone walls to match existing

The preservation of dry stone walls is paramount within the NP. The modern fences e.g. Lanehead are a disgraceful offence on the eye.

Design that has minimal impact on wildlife/plant life

Normally 'yes' for green space and gardens within settlements but courtyard development without much garden may be suitable for retired and could be sympathetically designed into existing settlements.

C3 How important is it that the Neighbourhood Plan should promote the following...

All above are the jobs of NNPA or NCC or relevant bodies.

I believe that all the above are dealt with by other more qualified organizations.

Supporting local employment (e.g. homes for agricultural workers)

Provision of bins for collection of dog litter - with signage.

Improved gritting/salting of High Green road to make road available to workforce, children & elderly

I think a lot of farmers in the area could contribute to cleaning up the environment by cleaning up their farms and stop damaging the land with huge tractors.

Clean air

The Parish Council already have this in hand. Twice Yearly litter pick with community volunteers

The community come together for litter pick.

Have a look at so-called 'eco museums' as way of protecting these things

Prevention of large solid board fencing

Support new sympathetic businesses that can also do the above

Security: farmwatch/Neighbourhood

All the above are highly important.

Tranquillity and peace and quiet.

Improved flood prevention measures = DRAIN THE RESERVOIR?

C4 Are there any buildings, places, open spaces or views which you believe are particularly important to protect?

The whole of the Parish musty be protected as described in C2 and C3.

ALL the open spaces and views are integral to the beauty of Tarset and Greystead - none should be lost through applications for inappropriate, out of character developments.

More special protection for whole of National Park.

The parish orchard and the view from XXXXXhenge.

We need to protect the Parish Orchard and the comprehensive view from it.

The whole parish

Sidwood - a great asset to the whole area

Greenhaugh Orchard. Sidwood. Pennine Way at High Green. Scatrey Linn, Greystead. The XXXXX garage should be replaced by a house, it is an eyesore !. The Mechanics Hall at Greystead should be made into a home.

Most residents live here because of the views. These should always be protected and any new development should not obstruct existing views (panoramas) or be allowed to dominate an area i.e. not on hill tops or excessive size. The feeling of isolation and open spaces must be maintained (Though I thought that was what NNPA core strategy was supposed to do !)

The tops of hills/skylines. The area needs to maintain the feel of isolation, quiet, rurality. So not middle of fields/hills.

School, Pub, Village Hall, Bus stop, Phone box. C1. The question: Should be NOT just the Parish but more widespread and include, because Greenhaugh has its own character, as does Lanehead and Greystead. Lanehead is suitable for mixed development, Greenhaugh is not.

People just need to apply common sense.

If new development is allowed only in keeping with existing styles and materials this should not be an issue. New development in areas where there is currently no building should not be permitted.

Listed buildings Scheduled ancient monuments Holly Bush- as a working pub.

Freedom for walkers and cyclists in open countryside, woodlands and forests.

Of course there are. Views should be kept unblocked as much as possible and make best use of the wonderful open spaces.

Tarset/Greystead is unique (well, almost) in providing open space, peace and quiet. It follows that any location for potential development should respect that. Hay meadows and semi-ancient woodland must be preserved as well as open moorland which is managed so as to protect heather.

Historic buildings e.g. Thorneyburn. Land along Tarset, Tarset and Tyne Rivers. Historic remains - e.g. bastles, Romano-British sites etc. Sidwood.

No wind turbines within the National Park or visible from the National Park

THE ENTIRE VALLEY

Tarset & Greystead parish Dark skies

It should all be protected

Views onto the North Tyne valley between Snabdough and Newton, and onto Whitchester Fell; views down the Tarset valley from High Green and Paydon; High Green lime kiln

All places and traditional buildings. In particular open fells, forest and bog land. Of less importance are areas already ruined beyond repair, such as Kielder water area.

Farming is at the very heart of the area, above all (underlined), care should be taken to ensure this remains to be the case. I understand the role tourism can play, but this needs to be managed & limited. Within the coming years, many of the population of the area will be "older" shall we say, attention should be paid to make sure elderly & infirm residents have access to delivered [? - word illegible] facilities/treatment/care and aren't instead forced to move out of the area.

Everywhere in the parish

Views: from Lanehead to Charlton; Lanehead to Tyne Bridge; Tyne Bridge to Birks Cottages

The Donkleywood road from Lanehead towards the cattle grid known as the 'Gray's Yet' the views of Tarset & Greystead valleys are spectacular

The open spaces remaining in Lanehead should be preserved otherwise the settlement will lose its natural character

Where the existing natural landscape contains houses interspersed by green spaces, it is important to preserve those spaces. They should not be seen as potential building plots. This applies particularly to Lanehead, where the 'natural' state is for many green spaces

All should be maintained and protected as a matter of fact. Perhaps the appointments of a farmwatch, rubbish spotter litter warden who would help in keeping the area a bit cleaner. And anyone not making an effort to protect be given a fine. Sure there will be a lot of applicants.

Just the character of the area in general. Plus it is important that those residents directly affected by any proposed developments are given a greater say in decisions than those who are not.

The Bastle trail, Tasset and Dally castle, riverside flower meadows and footpaths, upland heather moors, the historic dispersed nature of Lanehead (Charlton West township), listed buildings at Gatehouse, Greystead and Thorneyburn.

Have any of you walked to Rush End recently and looked back at Lanehead.

Many. Although some have been destroyed buy development within and without the parish.

All of the area.

The section of the parish that lies within the National Park is highly important to protect. Why else is such a tranquil beautiful area so designated. People visit a National Park in order to enjoy the lack of built up areas, traffic and people.

1. All local Bastles need careful protection 2. Tasset Castle 3. Views, by locals and visitors alike are generally enjoyed from local roads and footpaths. Therefore any building which obscures or prevents such a view or any high fencing doing likewise is to be avoided. I particularly value the roadside views existing in Greenhaugh to the north end on first entering the decent to Burnbank

Only the best examples of these buildings and environments should be protected

Imperative that 'bastles' and (very old - I think) properties are maintained and not spoilt by encroaching new developments

I think that it is a bit too late for this question Lanehead is deeply unprotected and ruined for life.
Nightmare

I would say Lanehead, but by the look of it this has already been ruined with more eye sores to come.
DISGRACE!

I would avoid any developments on open countryside and aim for infill opportunities or spread of villages/hamlets

The open fells the hay meadows and views of the river, streams and water courses. the traditional stone buildings should be renovated rather than allowed to crumble if feasible. Old woodland areas. Tasset Castle and bastles. Thorneyburn church. the Holly Bush.

The bastles, Thorneyburn Church, Holly Bush pub, Tasset Castle. There are many old stone buildings being allowed to decay. These need to be given permission for restoration for homes/offices Such development applications should be encouraged and supported There are too many open spaces and views to mention. They need to be considered as and when planning applications arise

No one particular building, place, open space or view is particularly important it is the overall character of the parish that should be protected

The National Park and the existing developments within it. The natural space and environment and landscape. Traditional building

While the area needs to embrace 21st century technology the area needs to remain looking as it does which is the reason visitors are attracted to visit and others to make the area their home not bringing with them ideas from the city to impose on this area

It would be impossible LEGALLY for this plan to achieve its aims no matter how laudable

Greenhaugh

As the Parish is within the NNPA all of it should be considered worthy of protection. Surveys such as this are meaningless unless the "powers that be" will listen

Thorneyburn Church and Paddon Moor

Thorneyburn Church and Paddon Moor

Bastles General view down the N Tyne Valley. e.g. No wind turbines

Care should be taken to avoid any new build that is highly visible, obstructs views

The Hollybush, The Village Hall as these are the two most important places for the community. Thorneyburn Church The views in the community are obviously one of the particular highlights in the area

Tasset Castle, The seat (Bought Hill)

All wide open fell side views and valleys of burns which make up the specific character and nature of our particular beautiful area. Tasset Village hall Mechanics Institute Black Middens Bastle Limekilns and Roughside Bothy + All historic ruins + all parish bridges tracks and lanes possibly under threat from logging Churches

All the open fells. Churches. Roughside Bothy. Tasset Village hall, Mechanics Institute, Black Middens, Lime Kilns and other historic ruins. All Parish bridges, tracks and lanes which could be damaged by logging.

The basiles

The open valleys with dispersed farms + cottages creating the essential character of the area

This should be observed throughout the neighbourhood as a general principle. Proposed developments at Lanehead appear to threaten this strategy.

It is important that the NP should be protected as a national treasure and SSSI. We do not need a license to violate our heritage just because the National economy is on the downward slope. After the temporary jobs for the builders, foreigners just where are the real jobs for the future? There is no long term permanent work = no need for housing

It is very important that we respect the green spaces, the dry stone walls, the trees all the reasons that one needs and values highly and the main reason that one wishes to live in the country. If everywhere is cluttered about with developments one might as well live in the town

All of the Parish

As outlined in NNPA guidelines, new development should not impinge upon the skyline or interrupt unspoilt view. It is important that the character of the surrounding countryside is not spoilt by new development. Sits unobtrusively in its surroundings. The whole character of the area is defined by its relatively "un-built" appearance and it would be a horrendous situation if un-checked new builds are allowed which are not sympathetic on location/appearance.

I believe that the views and open spaces of Tarset and Greystead are beautiful and need maintaining. Buildings such as Thorneyburn Church and Black Middens Bastle should be protected and other buildings which reflect the historical past of the parish.

Not sure where it fits in but 1. Protect the school and with any developments enhance the opportunities for young working families rent, rent/buy, starter homes which will help those who live here get acc & sustain a viable community. 2. Also the pub Church & village hall all are important in keeping a community.

Not sure where it fits in but 1. Protect the school and with any developments enhance the opportunities for young working families rent, rent/buy, starter homes which will help those who live here get acc & sustain a viable community. 2. Also the pub Church & village hall all are important in keeping a community.

Community spaces i.e. Village Hall, Church, Pub, orchard, School. Views everywhere!

I believe recent planning approvals have encroached in the kinds of spaces that should have been more efficiently protected. I believe the principles in questions C2 and C3 should be applied generally.

The whole parish, with the exception of areas of forestry, is an area of outstanding natural beauty, is the status of most of the parish as a designated National Park demonstrates. Open space & views, together with all heritage sites within the parish, should therefore be protected against any form of new housing or other developments, and use of traditional styles / materials & scale that respect their existing environment should be applied to settlements such as Lanehead, where some inappropriate post-war housing seems to have been used by planners to permit further developments which are TOTALLY out of keeping with the area. Outstanding views such as those over the valley from Lanehead should never again be marred by inappropriate development.

All areas are important within rural landscape of outstanding beauty within a National Park.

All areas are equally important to preserve.

ALL OF IT even the Drove routes that haven't been turned into boats and sunk!

C5 The space below is provided to allow you to make your own comments on protecting the environment of the Parish.

The design of any new building is a key factor - keep to the traditional stone and slate materials of existing houses (barring the Lanehead monstrosity) with subtle boundary fencing.

The often high handed and officer-led actions of the National Park planning department need addressing. It may no longer be fit for purpose. The parish urgently needs an able, forward looking and better informed Parish Council.

The character of the environment of the parish is of paramount importance. It must be protected from intrusive development which would destroy its quality.

In the last few weeks 2 large coaches parked in Greenhaugh opposite "Sundown" - churning up the roadside (beside the N.P. sign) and leaving a horrid, unsightly mess - We the villagers try to keep our environment safe, clean, tidy while others - visitors mess it up!

Are we allowed to vet who moves here and their intentions on future development on the land they buy ?

Don't allow more houses to be built. Don't encourage tourists.

Like roads and walls, cables, mobile phone masts, wind turbines, satellite dishes, aerials and microwave transmitters are all infrastructure required for a modern sustainable community.

The volume of traffic is important. The more houses built the more traffic will increase. Most families have two cars !

Sympathetic development can enhance the environment so should be allowed. The need for extra housing should be assessed before permission is granted. Local needs are a priority. Tourist development can damage the environment - e.g. increased litter, wear and tear on road verges etc.

See Comment C4.

This area is unique and sadly less and less safe from development. I am not against all development as I think if communities do not grow and change they die, but a balance needs to be found

The parish is unique - protect what we have.

It would be sad if no young people chose to make their home in the area. But with rising transportation costs commuting any large distance becomes prohibitive and young families on low income could become isolated

Please see previous. Far from creating more hooks [? - word illegible], the parish should work towards empowering more residents to work from home by supporting initiatives such as iNorthumberland to bring fast broadband to the area. Increasing fuel costs will in time push people toward needing to work from home.

Don't build new properties. And preserve and encourage farming rather than focusing all attention on tourism

Litter thrown out of vehicles is on the increase - particularly during the tourist season. This is the main reason I have against further tourist development

1.The countryside does not belong to us but because we live here we have a responsibility to help to maintain the traditional values that have always existed. 2.Could poo bins be provided on dog walks
3.More interest in wildlife - birds, barn owls, red squirrels etc

I believe that protecting the environment should preserve and protect what we have, not to destroy it to make way for enterprise and profit

We should try to retain the peace and tranquillity that exists rather than attempt to impose a new model of enterprise and (industry)/commerce. The emphasis should be on protection - not development!

Everyone to keep their own areas in order. Local community posters to be taken down after events. There are lots of old posters still up in the area.

Emphasis should be on conservation rather than development. There is only limited potential for additional holiday homes and for affordable homes without new rural businesses. The existing generous and varied housing stock is sufficient to satisfy the demands of historic rural draw/economic migration from Tyne/Wear which is now one of the main drivers of the community and local economy. There are very few sites under present rules that are suitable for larger houses or large -footprint bungalows.

Please refer to C4. Do not ever allow this to happen again.

We must ensure by entering this scheme that never again are we made to suffer from bureaucratic foolishness.

Prudent uses of natural resources

Safe , green and prosperous environment Effective use of natural resources

Preventing the construction of ugly buildings/houses. There is plenty of housing for sale already in this special area. Once extra housing is permitted there will be no stemming the tide.

We are in easy reach of Hexham, Newcastle and Gateshead where there are plentiful opportunities to enjoy high density housing and hustle and bustle. The current low density housing is a rare commodity which should be treasured by the Parish and conserved.It is more important for the environment to utilise sustainable building materials than to use materials that 'fit in' with the current look of the 'traditional' parish. We need to make our own statement of building for our own time and not revert to the dogma of the past just because it's 'traditional'.

In my opinion planning should stick to a criteria that only allows buildings which match and fit in with original landscape and dwellings

It is important that organisations like the NP, wildlife organisations, historical and astrological experts are in communication with planners and the parish council to ensure related aspects of protecting the environment are considered in any development. Planning should seek to ONLY allow applications that are sympathetic to the landscape and existing structures as well as local communities. Modern design is good in the right setting and if it complies with the points above. Provision to outlaw discharge of slurry into water courses and the placing of silage wrap on river banks should be enforced. Reorder river flow should cease as should extraction of stone and gravel.

Protecting the environment is of outmost importance of course. The wildlife, flora and fauna, the rivers, the fells, This is what living in the NP is all about. At layby's perhaps we could have rubbish bins, litter is more noticeable than ever. Discouraging excessive motor vehicle tourism encourages cycles and walking by improving safety

The environment of the Parish could be better protected if the local responsibilities exercised by Parish councils, County Council and the NP could not be overruled but outside authorities. Localism means nothing if National Inspectors can ride roughshod over local opinion, as happened with the Tarslet Tor development.

No foxhunting No ATV's riding all over No rallying

The environment of the Parish should be protected by regulating development to ensure the interests of the local population is served whilst not threatening the fauna and flora or the historic heritage of the area. Development should be carried out sensitively and to promote environmental sustainability using green energy as much as possible within constraints imposed by the above.

With the Roman Empire in terminal decline the Emperor Hadrian left a beautiful and permanent scar on the landscape. Now with Britain in terminal decline, asset stripped of almost everything the unwanted and unuseful Kielder water put into private hands then sold to France, Canada and now to China, we need to take every step possible to protect our heritage for future generations. Remember we are merely temporary owners, fleeting custodians of our heritage. We must seek to conserve the land and protect it for future generations. The roads in the area are too narrow, too twisty and too poorly maintained to support a large influx of traffic. The planners got it all wrong with Beeching hence the effects of the dreaded logging rigs

There is a comment from my grandson aged four/ 5th generation who having heard the adults discussing the heads development said out of the blue and quite contrary to his nature, "Daddy. show me where Mr XXXXX lives and when we pass his house I will show him my bottom"

There has been too much development recently that favours the developer and does not strike a balance between the needs of the developer, the Park and the community. The Park authority has also failed on many times to ensure development adheres to approved plans. In short they are inept.

As per C4. It is vital that we protect our greenfield spaces and upland valley landscapes by preventing unnecessary building. New builds are a highly necessary part of a thriving community BUT it is vital that new buildings are "appropriate" for the area. I think it is incredibly important that buildings are sympathetic to current developments. I feel that houses have not to stand out as being new and modern.

I believe there is a role for modern design & approach to building, as well as traditional designs. Both can be appropriate or if poor in design - truly ugly and out of keeping. C1 How important is it that any future development in Tarset & Greystead should be in keeping with the character and landscape setting of the Parish? is misleading - important but NOT a Beamish approach to design & development.

Development of 'communal' accommodation within the parish should not be allowed to jeopardise peace and tranquillity of residents, by excessive noise levels.

Recent Northumberland National Park decisions as regards developments at Lanehead & Greenhaugh suggest that planning does not currently take account of the parish's unique environment. Allowing developments that have effectively destroyed the landscape, built environment (& lives) of local residents at Lanehead, and that may soon effectively double the size of Greenhaugh, are clearly entirely out of scale in relation to the current size of settlements & ENTIRELY contrary to the whole principle of protecting landscapes & traditional housing within National Parks.

The current planning decisions should adhere to the Government's localism agenda, respecting the wishes of the local community. Unfortunately, recent planning decisions at Lanehead actively contravene this supposed agenda & render it meaningless.

LEAVE IT ALONE IT'S NOT BROKE AND DOESN'T NEED FIXING MORE TREES, BROWN BEARS AND ANY OTHER PROTECTED SPECIES RELEASED INTO THE WOODS.

C6 Which of the following aspects of road traffic in Tarset and Greystead Parish give you concern?

Bikers speeding.

Cycle lane would be a benefit to local drivers and of course the cyclists.

Motorbikers.

Motor cycle noise pollution in summer.

All concerns related to potential impact of Greystones (Tarset Tor) holiday development.

The potholes Inconsiderate parking and behaviour of the hunt.

The irresponsible, careless parking by hunt followers and their rude attitude to other road users is of concern.

School - parking outside school is a hazard - also cars turn around in the road and churn the verges into a mess.

Noise from motor bikes

Dangerous condition of roads due to poor maintenance.

Logging waggons - speed, road use, taking corners. Noise/speed particularly of motor cyclists. State of the roads - danger to cyclists and cars due to potholes.

School run.

Bad condition road signs need addressing for safety reasons

Parking for the village hall needs to be improved as parked cars cause bad visibility for pedestrians and children.

Speeding "mothers" delivering children to school.

Parking area required at Greenhaugh School.

Bikes listed as causing concern under both excessive traffic volume and excessive traffic noise categories

Motorbikes specifically noted on form under 'Excessive traffic noise'

Excessive speed of motorbikes during summer season

Potential of increased use of roads by logger wagons. Speed of motorcycles in particular. The pathetic souls who follow the hunt from their cars who park wherever they want, as long as they want. Note: road maintenance highlighted on form as a particular problem via use of several ticks

Motorcycles at weekends excessive noise & speed

Size and speed of timber lorries (wrt the turn at Old Chapel, etc) Soft verges and damage. Risks to horse riders.

Winter clearing great thanks to Parish Council Maintenance - very poor

It is impossible to control those who will not listen.

I refer to excessive speed and noise of motor cycles, especially at the weekends

Motorbikes Easter to October.

Motorbikes

Especially motorbikes and gritting

Motor cycles regarding noise Parking should be part of planning agreements in Greenhaugh

All marked not concerned are felt to be OK at present. All could be better but let's be realistic

All of the above refer to motorbikes

Road maintenance

Motor bikes are a constant danger and cause excessive noise

Control of motor bike speeds on local roads C 200 to Kielder Speeding through Greenhaugh

Overall the traffic speed is not an issue with the exception of motorbikes in the summer months

Weekend bikers

The concern concerns the ever-increasing motorcycle use of our NARROW country roads. Logging lorries are also of great destruction.

With particular reference to motorbikes and logging lorries

Winter clearing was very good when it snowed this year but potholes are always a worry after freezing weather.

Volume and noise would give me concern if they became pronounced

After the bad winters there would seem to be little if any interest in repairing potholes, cleaning drains etc. even when we complain they don't fix it.

On occasional Sundays/bank holidays a speed camera could be set up say in the Lanehead bus stop. This would serve to deter speeding motorcyclists. A DVLA registration number check would sort out the unlicensed, uninsured, unqualified, disqualified etc etc Very concerned about noise and speed of motorcycles - some of which come through at ridiculous speeds and in large, noisy groups.

Motorbikes!!!

N.B. Not living directly on the Kielder route I am less directly affected but am concerned about the speed volume noise of tourist traffic especially motorbikes in summer. Traffic calming rather than restriction we need to be realistic.

Concerned about parking at school.

Excessive noise is motorbikes

Excessive traffic noise - MOTORBIKES ONLY.

Motorcyclists are the major concern, together with logging waggons that appear to disregard all other users.

Motorcycles should be comprehensively banned within Parish, especially National Park.

Very concerned about road maintenance but not winter clearing. PEDESTRIANS NEED BASIC EDUCATION FOR ROAD SAFETY HIGHWAY CODE AND THE COUNTRY CODE WITH A RARE BIT OF COMMON SENSE.

C7 In which areas of Tarsset & Greystead do traffic problems need the most urgent attention?

Kielder Road, Mile Long Bank to Charlton.

Mile Long Bank into Bellingham from Wark is in a dangerous condition.

Bellingham to Kielder road and Lanehead.

Otterburn - Lanehead - Kielder Bellingham - Kielder

Motor cyclists. Large wagons on narrow roads.

Greenhaugh School.

Around Lanehead, towards Kielder where excessive numbers of motorbikes cause weekend chaos.

Lanehead - potentially, depending on volume of traffic generated by Tarsset Tor.

Damage to roads - potholes. Road damage - flooding

Pot-holes and road drainage to address the flooding at Old Hall.

All the single lane sections - more passing places needed.

All single track sections.

Log wagons - too big - too fast - too many - and drivers don't give a

Bellingham to Kielder Road too busy + too fast + throw litter.

Inappropriate speed by ALL vehicles, particularly the "school run" from Lanehead to the school and timber wagons along all major roads in the area who not only speed but expect others to get out of their way.

Outside Greenhaugh School. The junction at Lanehead especially when meeting logging wagons.

Keeping the grass cut at the Kielder/Greenhaugh junction, blind in the summer coming from Bellingham.

Lanehead. Speeding and road signs and parking not enough when village hall has events.

Lanehead = speeding traffic, road signs and parking.

Down from Lanehead onwards.

Lanehead to Kielder (motorbikes)

Road from Bellingham and Otterburn to Kielder - motor bikes.

Potholes and general condition of roads. Motorbikes on Kielder road on nice summer days.

Parking at the school is dangerous. Enlarge the entrance at school to allow parking and turning.

Road between "The Riding" and Riverdale Hotel. Greenhaugh School run.

On the main road in the summer.

From Charlton to Lanehead then left to Kielder. Excessive numbers of cars in Greenhaugh.

Lanehead - motorcyclists.

Speeding timber wagons. Speeding motor cyclists.

Greenhaugh bank down to bridge - bridge should be made one-way priority to enforce slowing down

Vehicle speed on Greenhaugh bank into village is excessive and dangerous

Greenhaugh bank & village (speed). Motor bikes - main road

1 Kielder road between Catholic corner & Falstone 2. Otterburn road between Lanehead & Greenhaugh wood

Kielder road - because of the excessive no. of tourists. These should be discouraged at all costs

Motor bikes

Lanehead for volume of traffic. Winter clearing for Burdonside to Trough End Road. Road maintenance everywhere!! The roads are appalling & causing on-going damage to vehicles.

Greenhaugh, Lanehead. No logger waggon travelling on roads too small for them, e.g. Diamond Cottage

Between Greenhaugh & Lanehead, Lanehead towards Kielder on C200

Bellingham - Kielder road - motorcyclists

Bellingham - Kielder (especially motorbikes)

Through traffic to Kielder, via Lanehead

At the road junction at Lanehead where the traffic to and from Bellingham meets the road to Greenhaugh

Around the 'T' junction in Lanehead

Main Bellingham, Kielder road C200

In Greenhaugh village

Parking in Greenhaugh village

Main Kielder road

Holey Road

pot holes

Approach to Greenhaugh.(peoples speed down the bank from Lanehead) Village Hall/Lanehead area (speed)

Speeding motor bikes. Speed limits in the settlements Parking at the village hall

What about making Mothers and Children walk from Greenhaugh to school.

The school and the ? to Comb road require sensible parking places.

Lanehead/Greystead. Particularly the bikers.

Greenhaugh school

Throughout the valley

Through the valley

Lanehead to Falstone road

Lanehead to Kielder

The road to Kielder, the nuisance of motorcyclists roaring along. The unsuitability of the size and layout of the road for the use of timber wagons.

I am not aware of traffic problems in Tasset and Greystead.

Motorcycles and some cars travelling at excess speed between Bellingham and Falstone.

Not enough done to curtail the dangerous activities of motor cycles who create a significant problem in spring and summer.

The current state of the roads in the whole parish are a disgrace.

main road up the valley noise pollution excessive as we all know equally not much can be done about it

No specific areas

Through Greenhaugh and past village hall. speed from Lanehead to Greystead

Lanehead/ Bellingham junction needs to be altered to allow logging wagons ease of turning Speed limits past village hall and through Greenhaugh

Bellingham to Kielder road, Greenhaugh to Henshaw road

Outside the school for 2 half hour periods every day. Have these parents never heard of car sharing

Motorbikes

Roads in desperate of repair and more grit piles on roads not being gritted by council

C200

All of it

Along the top of Cleugh Head/Boar Rigg up to Greystead and beyond (Motor bikes)

C200 - speeding motorcycles Deterioration of condition on roads

Tasset Lanehead

Tarset Hall area - Lanehead and Bow Rigg

The route from Bellingham to Kielder in the summer months from bikers

Lanehead, Boe Rigg, C 200 to Kielder - speeding motorbikes, dangerous driving. Also speed of some vehicles through Greenhaugh

The main road to Kielder and the Boe Rigg

Donkleywood road

Drain on Greenhaugh bank Passing places on top of Sneep

Weekend motorcyclists on the C 200 road from Lanehead to Kielder village

C 200 excessive use by motorbike riders especially at weekends

The C200 road from Bellingham to Kielder

C 200 road

Road maintenance and winter clearing

Road maintenance and winter clearing

All routes used by motorbikes and logging lorries Lanehead cross roads is a very dangerous area.

All routes used by motorbikes and logging lorries. Also danger to other road users caused by hunt followers parking or stopping in inappropriate locations.

Decent off road car park school

On the road to the reservoir.

The Council would seem to have abandoned the Lanehead to Donkleywood road which has a very real water/ice problem from the top to bottom of the hill. Potholes abound, increasingly grit does not.

Our main interest is the crazy speedsters across Lanehead. The excessive noise brings this problem to our attention. Too many drivers are not aware of or exceed the speed limit

The reduced car park size at the Tarset Tor may cause overspill onto the public highway, should that business be successful.

For us, Lanehead is particularly vulnerable as the long straight stretch going through means traffic (mainly motorbikes) come along at speeds way in excess of the speed limit.

Speed limits around residential areas

1. Management of Kielder traffic 2. Road maintenance - winter clearing this year has been v. good, better than most inner city areas.

Kielder route - particularly motorbikes. Traffic speed through Greenhaugh. Road maintenance.

Lanehead to Greenhaugh road is main issue.

The most serious problem relates to motor bikes at weekends in spring /summer/ autumn on the Kielder road. Consideration should be given to speed limits, humps etc to discourage bikers & reduce some pollution & speed.

Speed limits of no more than 50 mph should be introduced; within settlements 20 mph.

Motorbikes on Kielder Road in spring / summer & potholes.

Parents dropping off / collecting children from school - usually from outside the area - excessive speed!!

ROAD + BOAT MAINTENANCE

C11 Should the Neighbourhood Plan encourage more walking and cycling? If so, how?

Keeping byways clear and well-marked.

Guided to provide local employment / food and drink provision.

Not encourage more provision but ensure current paths or tracks are maintained to ensure that they are fit for purpose.

Better maintenance of existing public footpaths. Reduced speed limits.

Groups locally to set up, ambling and rambling societies perhaps.

Walks arranged? Cycling ventures in groups.

Improve the surface of the roads so it is safe to cycle. Encourage children to cycle/walk to school.

Walking groups etc

Better local maps of walks and rides.

Cycling YES, Walking NO because there are no pavements.

I thought the neighbourhood plan was about building ? ?

Cycle lanes

Local maps.

Depends if recreational or purpose driven. If the former then decent way marking, and care for cyclist on roads.

NNPA already does this.

Neighbourhood map - displayed locally

Paths are too indistinct in many cases

Should encourage more walking & cycling instead of (both words underlined) driving. Maybe encourage local employers to offer bike- and walk-to-work incentives

It is the decision of individuals whether they exercise or not. There are plenty of cycle paths in Kielder, and thanks to the Right to Roam, plenty of places to walk

Promoting area

Promote walking trails from T.I.C. Off road cycling routes

Unless it will actually create real full time jobs

I don't feel that is particularly necessary

Traffic control measures to reduce speed of motor vehicles including motorbikes

We have enough provision

Enough at present

Cycling- years ago it was suggested the old railway line be used. What happened to that idea? Rights of way - clearly marked.

By maintaining footpaths and signposts

Maintain and if possible extend existing footpaths & bridleways. Plan circular walks etc & publicise different distances [? - word illegible] and abilities [?]

By providing footpaths and cycle paths.

Development of routes like the Bastle trail. Or more publicity for them if they exist.

Signage NNPA leaflets Additional parking

Guided tours on a small scale.

Cycle paths

They are the kind of visitors who will appreciate the area - walking maps, e.g. XXXXX's forest cycle routes?

A variety of cycle and walking trails/paths are available in the forest.

More cycle paths and walking trails within different sections of the forest.

Involve the forestry commission to encourage more development of cycle route into areas of the forest other than in and around the immediate Kielder vicinity

Better traffic control Reduce speed of motorbikes especially

Better traffic control Reduce speed of traffic

Walking is an individual choice. Cycling is hazardous given the speed and volume of the traffic.

Perhaps some good cycle route signs

More signboards for walkers/cyclists indicating local tracks.

Ideal opportunity to develop the old railway line into a new cycle/foot path.

Due to poor public transport people need to drive

More cycle paths and footpaths

walking appears to have increased recently possibly from the production of various suggested routes whether XXXXX's or bastles. We should probably match these with some cycle and horse riding routes

Struggle to use highway due to timber wagons and motorbikes. would need to look at cycle paths and maintenance of footpaths

Some of the public footpaths and rights of way are badly signposted and maintained. A local map indicating suggested walks and cycle circuits in the area.

Rights of way need to be clearly marked Need maps for suggested walks and cycling routes

WHY

This not relevant!

Making paths accessible and known about also cycle tracks and places for bikes to safely be left, businesses to encourage and promote biking, walking with facilities and info

In the case of walking, many footpaths are signposted but impassable. this needs improved maintenance

It would be a concern that on rural roads it would pose a danger because cars and lorries go too fast

Do you mean for commuting? I'm not sure it would be practical for many people but for leisure purposes it would be good to encourage it, perhaps by having more footpaths and cycle ways or having better signs to indicate where they are so walkers/cyclists can keep off the roads

Publication of guides Ranger led walks

More walking routes

Better signage

Better signage

Better maintenance on B roads Enforced speed limits through settlements

Well signposted footpaths and for my (unable to read) attention to C7

Provision of cycle ways - designated

Better signposting of footpaths and cycle ways

Promote designated walks and cycle routes across the areas

Advertise footpaths more clearly

Advertise the area and print more maps of footpaths in area

Maintenance of footpaths would be a good thing to promote walking. When control of motorbikes is certain more bicycling is sure to follow

Maintenance of footpaths, controlling motorbikes would make cycling safer

Would be great to reuse the old railway as a cycle track

Don't feel strongly but there's no harm in it

By conservation of our heritage within the NP and keeping our heritage attractive by bringing Le Tour de France to Tasset Tor

Possible increased signage. Walkers and cyclists should be encouraged to use the Donkleywood road to Falstone

Publishing and promoting walking/cycling routes

Position of bike racks in villages so that there is somewhere to secure bikes

Walking and cycling clubs/events, but for any level of fitness

The beauty of T and G is best appreciated out of a vehicle

Sympathetic development of walks & promoting cycle routes e.g. like Bastle Trail & promoted cycle routes.

Develop more signed walks like Bastle trail. Promote existing cycle routes & develop more.

People who want to do.

Republish xxxxxxx Walks as a book rather than fan-fold leaflets.

This is already encouraged by Northumbria Water, Visit Kielder etc in relation to tourism & many useful leaflets exist for use by residents as well as tourists. These decisions should be residents' own & not subject to planning and cycle routes (appropriate at Kielder or in cities) but NOT appropriate on rural roads.

Clearer signage for trails, and public footpaths.

C12 Should the Neighbourhood Plan promote and encourage an increase in visitor numbers in the Parish? In what ways do you think that this can be achieved?

Better internet links for all local attractions, possibly linking with Kielder's sites.

The Parish Plan should work to maintain the difficult balance - sufficient numbers to make the two (3) visitor dependent businesses successful, but not so that the area be "swamped" with visitors and attractions.

Guided parties on less populated routes such as high hills and forest.

Yes, but as with housing we should look at what type of tourism would best suit locality of a National Park renowned for its tranquillity. Make 'Ecotourism' a special feature N.N.P. could be a pioneer for.

Dark skies

Cafe, pub. restaurant and fuel availability

Good food

Open days at the village hall run by the NNPA promoting the area, walks, Dark Skies etc.

By encouraging Tourism and enterprise and micro enterprise Business. HOWEVER NNPA are doing this already.

More tourist accommodation.

Promoting an equal balance

Additional facilities for campers.

Wider advertising of the main events. Advertise to a wider audience in local papers, radio etc

Not resident long enough to make a comment

Supporting local businesses and initiatives which enhance rather than detract from the local environment

The increase of visitors must be proportionate - why do visitors visit? Because of the scenery and "remoteness" on offer - to many visitors would be counterproductive. Nevertheless some local businesses would obviously benefit from increases in visitor numbers

In a sustainable way

Promoting area

To promote tourism to help those reliant on this industry through greater advertising in T.I.C, but without the development of 'eyesore' new build.

Encourage & support existing accommodation & business links between holiday providers.

By promoting the area locally and nationally and staging events, music festivals and maybe more shows open to all, not just a closed community, as at the moment. And by cleaning up the countryside

Unsure - but perhaps the local 'identity' could be developed more (Tasset Lamb, VARC) to attract day and short term visitors.

Only if increased visitor numbers create employment and supports local businesses. Perhaps the kind of visitors to be encouraged are those who come for the tranquillity and beauty of the area. And those who are interested in the history and wildlife, and dark skies or walking etc. Workshops , led walking, bird watching . Dark Sky events, etc.

Promotional campaigns to increase awareness of area. Increase literature on local history

Detailed literature of walks, cycle paths and local history. Raising awareness of local produce.

Develop Tasset and Greystead website . Develop coded walks with things to see and do on the way. Promote high quality accommodation Promote Dark Skies

Promotion of natural attractions e.g. Dark skies

Promotion of Dark Sky, Bastle trail etc

Advertising its beauty, tranquillity and outdoor pursuits - all within easy reach of Newcastle and more populous regions of the North

Advertising directed at local providers of goods/services e.g. to attract birdwatchers, fungi collectors, fishermen, astronomers, moth collectors(some very rare species in Kielder, I am told)

Focus more on events that promote our unique qualities such as wildlife, walking festivals, cycling, stargazing etc.

With Kielder water I think we get enough tourists and passing tourists unless it means the roads will be improved

I don't think that this needs more encouragement as there are enough attractions and amenities and tourist trade seems fine as it is. "If it isn't broken don't fix it"

Possibly - all depends how

Encourage visitors who will cycle, walk, stargaze (cf dark skies initiative) through internet links by accommodation providers Create more parking for visitors in Greenhaugh and near village hall Ensure the Tasset website is up to date and maintained (to include information for visitors)

Brochures highlighting what can be seen/done in the parish. Link local brochures to national tourism brochures web sites for the parish- identify what can be seen and done where to stay brochures/websites

A welcoming parish, quality accommodation and attractions, eateries, good information, excellent broadband and wireless communications

Important to local businesses to help each other. Complement each.....

Yes, supporting local businesses - cafes, pub etc, local community Ensuring that all local businesses network support each other e.g. provide a service for each other, support local groups, walks, dances , shows.

There needs to be promotion of a key centre. all other tourist areas have them. Kettlewell, Pickering and Goathland. We do not have a centre like this. Bellingham is the capital of the North Tyne but as a tourist centre it is poor. Kielder too is a mess of isolated parts and is not attractive even with the castle.

More advertising. If the tourist/visitor attractions and accommodation etc get together to promote themselves and the area as a "package" e.g. stay at A, eat at B, visit C and D then eat at E (all in the area.

Advertisement of walking trails, Kielder and wildlife. Have appropriate accommodation available

By publicising events wider e.g. Tarsset News By continuing in all good things! By supporting sustainable tourism

Promoting Dark Skies

Kielder partnership, Forestry Commission and NNPA working together to promote what the area has to offer

Advertise area more

Advertise

Northumberland is Britain's best kept secret. We will never be overrun by hordes of air travellers who seek warmer climes but there is potential out there in attracting increasing numbers of elderly/retired people. jobless people/families. youth in search of adventure. leisure activities will increasingly bring people in.

We are part of a NP so visitors should be welcome - especially in terms of local economy. Publicise activities/walks etc and local attractions. However we have to recognise that the Parish itself has limited activities so marketing would need to be targeted at an appropriate audience

Organise events in the summer months to attract visitors already going to stay at Kielder. possibly fun runs or a fair

Website advertise in neighbouring local newspapers and national papers

Through places to visit in T and G e.g. farm shop, craft gallery/workshops, bastle/history tours, coffee shop

Tourism is one of the industries which can keep this community viable but needs to be sympathetic to the beautiful environment we have. Walking, cycling, some holiday cottages / acc. Dark skies - but not to the detriment of farming and other industry. Would also help to keep our pub viable & probably school in keeping work in the area. Heritage and history linked to the church + family

history - the church is open and accessible. Also Border Reiver history & the Bastles etc. Our natural history - hay meadows, red squirrels, wildlife. Moors and open spaces are resources which we should 'share' with tourists.

By identifying our unique features and protecting / developing these such as Dark Sky Status, SSSI's, Red Squirrels, archaeology etc. but in balance with farmers and others working in the community. Preserving the landscape which people come to see / use by controlling development (small & sympathetic).

Businesses need to be sustainable. Small volume, develop schemes that attract people in the 'off' seasons. Dark sky status achieved.

By protecting the existing amenities such as Sidwood, the riverside footpath etc.

C12 Should the Neighbourhood Plan promote and encourage an increase in visitor numbers in the Parish? Please tell us why you are opposed to this?

There are plenty of tourists in this area.

I'm not opposed to it I just think tourism has nothing to do with the neighbourhood plan. advertising the area should be done by the businesses that rely on them. Public monies should not be used.

Enough traffic. Until roads are improved and repaired it would not be safe to increase tourists.

There are already significant numbers of tourists, particularly in the summer. The increased traffic, noise from walkers, litter will again diminish the tranquillity that residents covet.

To preserve special characteristics of the Parish - peace and quiet, low traffic.

The number of B&Bs and self-catering adequate for the current number of visitors. Any more facilities would encourage even more visitors.

The number of B&B and self-catering properties seem to be sufficient to cope with the existing requirements. MORE visitors would require more of these facilities.

I live opposite a "holiday cottage" and no longer feel as safe as in the past therefore I now lock my doors when I go out during the day - neighbours, I trust - visitors - no. Holiday makers bring their own supplies - do not shop in Bellingham.

We like to live in a quiet neighbourhood.

The neighbourhood plan is for residents ! Any increase in visitor numbers may benefit B&B's etc but any business is responsible itself to generate income (visitors). The NP should ensure businesses have support in this, but not to the extent of encouraging visitors itself e.g. guidelines on advertising, encourage broadband/Wi-Fi. Against more traffic.

Increased traffic. There is enough provision for holiday lets, B&B's etc so more is not needed - or we will be in danger of no locals, just tourists.

The local businesses i.e. Hollybush and the Yurts at the Rectory are already doing a good job for tourists. Biking in Kielder and the Observatory will also attract more visitors each year.

Most people live here for the peace and quiet. Let's not spoil it.

Tarset is one of the most remote parishes in England. Being able to enjoy the area without coach loads of tourists being around is important. Sustainable tourism such as Wild Northumberland should be encouraged.

The whole point of Tarset and Greystead is that it is a quiet rural area which should remain so.

Duplication of role of NNPA - waste of public money. Increase in tourist numbers damages the environment - e.g. litter, damage to verges.

No public transport so all visitors must come by car which is bad for the environment. Tourists bring little benefit to the majority of the population.

An increase in visitor numbers would cause an increase in traffic volume, pollution and litter. Excessive traffic noise is already a particular problem

They spoil the peace and beauty of the valley, make the roads too busy and dangerous, drop litter. Above all they bring with them the corrupt values and negativity of the city. Money should be made from farming or any other career on the land or in the surrounding towns, not from tourism

To keep the environment quiet and peaceful

This is not Blackpool or the Lakes, nor should it be. It's one thing for visitors to come to the area to enjoy what it currently has to offer, BUT we do NOT need to invent things to bring in visitors. This is primarily a farming & residential area not a tourist destination like centre [? word illegible] parks. Note: This questionnaire also gave a partial 'yes' to this question as follows: 'Unless it will actually create real full time jobs & be managed in such a way the area only [benefits] benefits & is not affected.

I feel Kielder and the surrounding area is well known. I don't feel it's necessary to increase numbers as this will just create more traffic. I feel if people wish to visit they will.

Already mentioned litter problem, increased traffic volume, noise & pollution

Tourism has historically, brought very little to the area and, being seasonal, provides very few full-time posts.

Tourism brings very little in support of jobs or economy and is seasonal (short)

Not if it means developments such as the 'Holiday Camp' at Lanehead which has ruined the landscape and through time the peace and tranquillity of our countryside.

It is the responsibility of businesses that rely on tourists to encourage visitors by offering competitive services. I don't think the neighbourhood plan can assist other than by encouraging better communications such as mobile phone reception and faster broadband

I would be happy to see more visitors using the existing facilities, but I am against the construction of new tourist attractions. E.g. I think the Hollybush could cope with more visitors, and I would support actions that would help achieve that objective.

I do not think the present infrastructure can cope with a large influx of visitors. We do not need or want any more or this will upset the peace and tranquillity we have at the moment

Plenty walks for them already (XXXXX's book).

I chose to live here because of what it is not what its being turned into.

Litter and traffic

Agriculture and managing the landscape in the parish are more important than attracting visitors, Secondly the dichotomy; if you attract too many visitors you run the risk of ruining or destroying the underlying heritage of attractive qualities.

Large scale tourism is not sustainable

The Parish cannot and should not offer the facilities required

I believe that tourism destroys its own object.

I believe that present visitor levels are sustainable with the current available amenities. A further influx would put too much strain on the local infrastructure plus damage to our environment that we are striving to protect

Plenty already

Think Kielder can attract visitor numbers. Tarsset and Greystead should left as unspoilt and natural - too many people would spoil it

NO Tourism is not the great god. At best it produces part-time seasonal second jobs and uses up housing stock

I believe that an increase in visitors has done nothing for the neighbourhood

Residents should be given more concern than tourists

The attraction to this Parish is there already with its natural beauty. This is why people come to the area in the 1st place.

The attraction of the area is the tranquil nature just off the main tourist trail up to Kielder

Public access to areas of livestock farming can cause damage to stock and fencing

Yes and No

I don't believe the plan should not, but that the current level of tourism and the promotion of tourism is at a reasonable level and the fact it is growing steadily means that nothing needs to be done by the planning committee

Enough now

Enough already

In an area like our parish with its specific landscape, hill farming and small hamlets I do not think tourism needs to be further encouraged. preservation and conservation is more important. Kielder has facilities which I believe are sufficient. Bellingham nearby also services tourists well. We owe it to the future to secure and protect National Parkland

Current facilities (B and Bs etc) are adequate for the demand but could benefit from higher usage. No necessity for adding further facilities which would be unlikely to be used when there is more for tourists in Bellingham and Kielder.

Simply because this is a quiet peaceful and tranquil area, that is why the majority of people move here.

The Lanehead development site shows how damaging to the landscape and community tourist development can be. Activity based tourism (bastle walks, events etc) can be fine but so much tourism development presupposes new building which can be harmful to the landscape. Therefore extreme care has to be exercised in encouraging tourism/visitor numbers.

The Tasset Tor could double the population. This development is too large for the area and so we have had more than our fair share

There is already adequate provision.

Tourism in the parish has been promoted actively by NNP, Visit Kielder, Northumbrian Water etc over several decades now. While tourism clearly has a beneficial effect on the local economy, including employment, I consider it is now at full capacity. The amount of tourist accommodation in relation to permanent housing is at crisis point, & it is wrong that current NNP policies prioritize the interests of tourism over residents. In the ludicrous situation that planning permission outside settlements is granted for tourism in relation to redundant buildings, BUT not for local housing.

Tourism is suitable for extended stays linked to local accommodation including pubs & restaurants. Bellingham & Kielder, with their tourism centres, already cater to the needs of most tourists.

This area should only encourage very limited tourism.

There are already enough tourists.

We will spoil what we have and make it like everywhere else i.e. Yorkshire Dales / Moors / Lake District / PEAKDartmoor etc Our area fortunately has not been so badly damaged by affluence.

C13 Please help us by telling us what a Sustainable Community means to you?

Work for local people. Housing for local people and growing families. To keep the schools running and the population sustainable.

Allowing building and therefore new people into the area. Allowing business to advance. Not blocking ideas just to save the area. No jobs - no community

Housing and jobs for young persons. Improved public transport.

I have absolutely no idea! I presume it is meant to indicate a viable number of residents/businesses to at least maintain the status-quo. We MUST encourage some controlled expansion of employment opportunities to maintain, or better, increase the number of young families in the Parish. Perhaps improved transport could partly help this. There must be active encouragement to new small enterprises.

No idea.

A community that is both lived and worked in by a majority of residents. Not with a majority of those who are retired or travel out of the community to work on a daily basis. Appropriate facilities for those people to stay in the Parish for - village hall, pub, church.

A place where the community can live AND work within their own area. Need to do this by ensuring there is local employment, affordable houses available for younger local people, easy access to schools, health services and local shops.

Dropping the mindless mantra that 'Growth is Good' and concentrating instead on improving environment, reducing carbon footprint and improving quality of life for existing residents and a small number of visitors.

More use of renewable energy.

A community where a mixture of young and old live together. An active involvement in the community life by all. Attention to caring for neighbours - helping those in need, considering others. An encouragement to weekend residents/ holiday visitors, to consider permanent residents' lives and difficulties living in isolated communities. -To be more open to country life and all its hidden agendas. On-going parish activities, community involvement. Employment to keep people in community.

Looking after your fellow parishioners in any way you can.

Mixture of ages, employment, houses to rent or buy. Local people need to be able to afford to live in the area. Young people are priced out of the market. Too many affluent people not enough housing for young people. Some could afford to but low cost housing but it is not available.

A sustainable community is one 1. Whose residents possess a wide range of skills and interests that they are prepared to share. 2. One where there is employment for all, especially young people, who would benefit by it - either in the parish or within easy reach of their houses. 3. One whose cohesion is ensured by maintenance of a village hall and school and provision for the elderly. 4. One where there is rings of voluntary, independent, self-programming groups not reliant on external; funding. 5. One whose parish council is vibrant, active, well informed and which consults regularly with its parishioners.

Wide age range. Opportunities for employment appropriate to the environment. Thriving local school. Vibrant local groups providing varied activities. Reliable utilities - electricity, water, telephone, mobile, broadband. General community interest in all aspects affecting the area and its residents. On the whole, I feel Tasset and Greystead are meeting the criteria of a sustainable community.

We are a sustainable community - encourage more people to live here and become involved in our activities Parish council - T.V.H. - social groups. More people - more houses - more jobs - chicken and egg / egg and chicken.

Nothing.

Area with local services such as PH, school, V hall, availability to shops including home delivery, good community groups and social life. Affordable work and travel.

The forest should have more businesses introducing wood products (logs, wood chip etc). What would T&G parish be like if hill farming was to lose the £1,000,000 per year from the EU ? There are skills and services within the parish and they are not being used within the parish. The forest could be the future of employment but the Forestry Commission are very poor at helping business within the forest. There should be a wood chip power station in the forest.

A community where people want to live because it provides all they require and they adapt to what it does not provide. This will always vary from individual to individual and during different stages of their life, so certain basic requirements like pubs, shops, schools will always be needed. Provided Bellingham does not decline these will always be close by. The "extras" like Green Fingers, Furniture Restoration, the Village Hall, Pilates Classes, the Artist in Residence, The Grumpies all contribute to making this a community that residents enjoy and want to be part of. If they don't, they move on and someone else has the chance (privilege) to live here.

A community that will live on - not die out within a generation or two, because families have to move away - due to lack of affordable housing, jobs, transport etc.

Increase in family numbers encouraged to come into the area to use the facilities.

A village hall (meeting place) People looking out for their neighbours, helping if someone is ill or needs a lift. Noticing if strange people are hanging around remote buildings or farms.

Young families Schools Pubs Cafes Tourism and maybe a shop ! None will survive without development and more open mindedness - less Nimbyism.

It means encouraging families to the area to keep the schools running. It means supporting local businesses in the area.

I believe the Plan should not be bogged down with sustainability and also remember most facilities are available in Bellingham.

It's a load of nonsense - people are not going to leave their houses and let them go into a dilapidated, uninhabitable state and end up with an empty parish. Its modern fashionable gobble de gook.

It is important to have a diverse community but encourage everyone to participate and get involved. Allowing development which allows younger and older people to remain in the area is important as well as encouraging and promoting local business. In the future new technology can play an important part in allowing people to live and work in the area and reduce commuting.

A mixed community where there is adequate and affordable housing, and employment opportunities, for all ages. The community must not become isolated from neighbouring communities, Bellingham, the rest of Northumberland and via telecoms, the world.

It means having facilities and people to manage those facilities. Events that run with the dedication of local people.

One which uses and supports any local services on offer, and which includes many families, keeping the local schools viable.

Sustainable living for all levels of community through provision of jobs and suitable housing and consideration of local environment.

An environment where all age groups would wish to live.

Ideally housing should be available for the young people of the parish together with jobs in order to enable young families to remain here.

A sustainable community in one where there is a future for those who live in it. In other words, available work and decent living conditions, not just second homes or retirees. The parish has been predominantly agricultural for ever, with forestry work during C20th. Now that is dwindling few young people seem to want to farm and there is little left in the Forestry. New technology and ways of working may help change this, but we need to keep our connection to the land.

A sustainable community would be difficult to achieve within the low population of Tasset as it should be one which is self-sufficient in most services and there is not the threshold population to support them. There is not enough local employment to provide jobs for the numbers living here. Tourism is a low-paid, seasonal employer, unsuitable for sustainability.

How well can we survive without leaving the community ? Quite well actually due to internet shopping and home delivery. Most other services are available close by.

As commuting becomes more expensive & less practical sustainability will rely more and more on the provision of local jobs. Encouragement must be given to those wishing to live & work in the community especially in sectors which add to the whole. An excellent example being Wild Northumbrian. A business allowing a young couple to live and work within the community raising their children who attend the village school and so on...All this must be achieved however bearing in mind the integrity of the community and its beautiful surroundings.

The community cannot be seen as a museum - there has to be a reason for all age groups to want to live here - this means local employment and somewhere to live for the younger members - but it also means respecting the unique nature of the parish. Sympathetic (underlined) and respectful (underlined) change will ensure a satisfactory end result of sustainability

Jobs, somewhere to live but without spoiling a very special place

To me a sustainable community would mean one in which only renewable materials were used. Also, the more its required materials were produced within the area, the more easily sustainable the community would be. Tarsset and Greystead is not currently a sustainable community because people use non-renewable (fossil) fuels for their houses and vehicles, and almost none of what people consume comes from within the area (for example food, power, water).

Nothing

A friendly community where residents show a sense of belonging, where all those involved in planning activities show a sympathetic regard for the needs of the current residents and for future generations. Tarsset and Greystead meet most of these criteria except for where outside bodies, who do not know our community, are involved in planning matters.

A community where planners have regard for the needs of future generations and encourage opportunities for children and young people

Firstly buzzwords like this are tremendously impotent [? important]. "Community" is the engagement of the people who live in the area. It is the manner in which neighbours assist, socialise & care for each other. It is the pride held by the residents for the area. Tarsset and Greystead is [word illegible] a glorious example of what community is.

Community means to me people looking out for each other. Assisting, socialising and caring for each other. It is people that are proud of where they live and want to protect it. As it stands at the moment I feel Tarsset & Greystead community is a fantastic example of what a sustainable community should be.

A community which has a mixed age range with appropriate facilities for each age range, e.g. play areas, youth club, village hall as at present, school as at present, public transport links (too infrequent at present), affordable housing to encourage young families to settle here. I envisage any development as being to encourage young people growing up here to stay and establish themselves with their own homes and families. It should not encourage an influx of people from the towns and cities which would change the nature of the rural community.

Sustainable community: a particular area together with its inhabitants which is maintained at a certain rate or level. Our community is abundant with positive activity. At present [2 word underlined] we have good local education and health services and a certain quality of life is vigorously defended. My worry is that we are a predominantly elderly population and provision for incapacity in later years is not evident as a clear priority. Our sustainable community could become unsustainable in future years. We need to stress youth employment/apprenticeships for a sustainable future.

That the community is able to service its own needs sufficiently to survive. That there is a spirit of working and managing together for the good of the community as well as of the individual. Needs services like health/education/pub/library to be locally provided

All the questions in C2 and C3 are highly important and I would emphasise this. I feel this is the way forward. I would hope planning mistakes of the past will not be repeated.

It means a community that is able to protect and maintain the essential features of the national park. I do not think that it is important or necessary to try to impose some new business model upon existing 'settled' communities.

A sustainable community is one that is able to continue to maintain and protect its important natural characteristics. In Tarsset and Greystead this is achieved by the effort and investment of the existing residents

Age mix, with jobs & housing & care for all.

A sustainable community to me means that we have enough permanent residents who work locally and spend their money in the area.

Sustainable Community to me means a larger population mix of young and old. Plenty of activities going on. Promote tourism and use of local facilities open to all. Landowners should keep their views and environments free of litter, dead stock etc. Walking on the fells, in this area, is laden with old machinery, bones galore, plastic pails and the land ripped and torn by large machinery. You have to start at the grass roots, in my opinion. And if objections to modern buildings are a problem perhaps put your own house in order first. Then that will attract tourism and business.

Houses for the young and jobs nearby. Or good road links etc.

Housing and services for everyone from birth to death within reason. Bellingham is only 3 miles away and can provide a lot of what T&G cannot. We should not aim to improve the community only strive to keep its head above water.

One where the scale of development does not outstrip the infrastructure available and maintains the overall nature of the community that has attracted people to live and work together in it. One where community businesses can provide employment and voluntary work for residents T&G is such a large area of scattered residences that it does not fit easily into this view. The lack of a central/focal point makes provision of some resources e.g. community shop, problematic.

A sustainable community meets the needs of existing and future residents and their children in terms of access to a good range of housing, services and jobs which together contribute to a high quality of life, opportunity and choice. This is achieved in ways that make effective use of natural resources, enhance the environment, promote social cohesion and inclusion and strengthen economic prosperity. This will be a community in which residents will be engaged and make a difference, and one where people will want to live and work now and tomorrow. In short TARSET & GREYSTEAD,

There is no such thing as a sustainable community. The community is totally dependent upon the people and their attitudes at the moment in time.

The people.

A sustainable community should be a community in which the inhabitants care for the surroundings and for each other. It should include people of all ages, children, walking age and the elderly. As far as possible there should be facilities to accommodate a range of ages and types, a school, a pub, village hall, church, places of work and if possible a shop and some transport. Tarsset is a brilliant place to live, friendly, lively and beautiful. But there are too many elderly and retired. We are short of families and children. We do not need more second homes. If houses are built how can this be avoided. How can we create more jobs and small businesses.

A SAFE green environment people want to live in. We must be aware of development that respects the scale of the environment.

A safe green environment people want to live in. We must be aware of development that respects the scale of the environment. Social progress which reinforces the needs of everyone. Conserve the natural beauty of the parish for future generations.

Use of local resources, local skill base etc. Consideration of local resources when new developments are agreed.

A sustainable community would have within a variety of occupations, trades, crafts etc that would provide the income of the residents. Aside from farming, where the activity and trade goes out of the district and the Unison pastel workshop there is practically nothing that sustains residents. It is increasingly a dormitory settlement with mostly unproductive residents - myself included.

A sustainable community is one which survives over time. It is not, in the age of supermarkets, the internet, and cars, a self-sustaining community. Of necessity a small community will go through cyclical phases of aging and regeneration following deaths and movement away from the area. There will be phases of youth and maturity. It needs above all a focus and meeting place - the village hall and the Holly Bush - and people with the time and energy (perhaps the retired) to organise local events.

A sustainable community needs people of all ages. This will only be achieved if high quality affordable homes are built, especially in a rural area where wages are low. Some rental properties will be needed but these should be on a rent to buy scheme in order to keep people within the area. Currently it is only the older generation who are buying (and are able to buy!) houses within the area. If people do buy second homes these should be taxed to generate money to offer as grants to those 'first time buyers' or people who wish to self-build.

A sustainable community has a broad population demographic with emphasis on the sustainable development of properties that local young people can afford. Ensuring that we don't become a dumping ground for problem families from urban conurbations, plus we must prevent our area from being saturated with more second home owners.

Managed for the last 200 years without it

Everyone joining in and taking part - don't believe this will happen. Not everyone will be able to agree

A sustainable community needs to embrace change, attracting young families as well as supporting older residents. Tasset and Greystead have developed a strong sense of community, with many organised activities as well as traditional rural sense of neighbourly contacts, but the current population is ageing and without new residents and particularly young families there is danger of stagnation. Maintaining the Primary school, pub, church, village hall and transport system is essential but also high speed broadband and development which brings employment to the area or allows residents to work from home.

A sustainable community is about the people in the parish, the infrastructure of the parish and the environment. The people are ageing. We need younger people, younger families and support for the elderly. The infrastructure includes church, pub, school, village hall, transport systems. These cannot afford to stagnate and die. Ways of maintaining and developing these facilities are essential. The environment is not just the beautiful landscape, the farms, forest, rivers. It includes having people live in the countryside to understand that it has to be 'looked after' and not ruined by mad 'eco' schemes.

A place where people want to live and work. Visit both now and in the future

Tasset and Greystead is not and never has been a sustainable community. It doesn't have a large enough critical mass. It is top heavy with older and retired people. There are not enough full-time primary jobs. There is a huge shortage of family homes and a bigger shortage of work space. In my lifetime I can think of more houses disappeared (through amalgamation and holiday lets) than have been built. Also average household size has decreased markedly e.g. Greenhaugh village.

A sustainable community to me means a wide demographic spread, not just people moving here for their retirement. A community will never be achieved by increasing the number of second/tourist accommodations.

Self-sustaining community means not relying on outside means to sustain them through a) energy, b) tourism, c) places of meeting/gathering, d) cultural connection, e) food production (community garden)

To me it is not about power or water. It is about a community maximising its local skills from its population. It is about diversification of employment to create wealth, not always just the traditional jobs of this area.

A sustainable community is an area/group of people who are committed to looking after the area and assisting each other as and when the need arises. The Tasset Parish does this very well in the 1st place.

A sustainable community would have low unemployment, enough suitable housing for local people including housing for retired and old people. Local schools and public transport to encourage young people to remain in the area.

We have/get a respectable amount already. If it became too busy it may spoil the area for locals.

A community serviced by a school, post office, church, village hall and public house.

A sustainable community is one that has an involved and enthusiastic population much as evidenced by the Tarsset website with a wide variety of activities, clubs and interests. It also ideally requires population renewal with a proportion of young people to ensure continuity and indeed growth. The current situation in T and G shows a skewed demographic with an ageing (or aged) population. It is notable that the thriving school draws most of its pupils from outside the Parish. Greater employment opportunity is probably one key to improving this imbalance. Outmoded ideas of the need for a shop to ensure sustainability are not helpful. Neither is the failure to understand the dynamics of a dispersed settlement pattern that results in a viable and successful community. It seems that much central thinking on sustainability revolves solely around nucleated patterns. T and G is very much a sustainable community BUT its Achilles heel at present is clearly the small number of young people in the Parish and the lack of employment opportunities after school or university

A community with a wide range of activities and jobs available to all ages of residents. T and G currently lacks younger people due to a lack of smaller properties available to buy/rent and employment. Smaller properties are also needed to house residents currently living in larger properties when they need to downsize to enable them to remain in the valley

Sustainable community means allowing a younger demographic to live in the area through affordable housing and job opportunities. The area needs more professional jobs in the form of small businesses possibly. The community can be sustainable through the growth of renewable energy to power homes

A community that is a balance between young and old residents and visitors young and retired rural businesses and home office based large and small families A community that provides enough and generates energy for all who live within it As a newcomer it feels as if this is what mostly happens here

Keeping open the pub and school Supporting businesses in the community and encouraging new businesses

Support of small businesses and "home" working thru e.g. broadband, presence on website advertising etc. Encouraging residents to use alternative power sources to oil, any renewable energy. That the Village Hall continues to be available to the community as a whole and used for all the many activities we already have and more. greater use of already existing community website announcing jobs, opportunities, activities, advertising of local businesses, courses etc. Encouraging vegetable growing, hen keeping, any other activity which helps provide through an honour system also

Support of small businesses and homeworking: provision of better broadband and fuller mobile coverage would help this. Alternative power sources, renewable energy. Protection of Village Hall for community activities

This is a very big question that is difficult to answer here but: It should provide significant financial and social support to most of the people living in it across the whole age range without threatening the local environment or the environment at large. Plus.....

Church. school. pub. post box phone Not a lot! I speak 5 languages and this is the first time that I have come across the phrase. presumably the community was more sustainable when we had our post office at Tarsset(Spar shop)but the business dwindled and closed down just as the post office

was lost at Greenhaugh and later at Falstone. (Bellingham doesn't look too good) The combined post office/shop is a thing of the past. The Bellingham shop serve us adequately along with catalogue, home delivery and the internet, which are impersonal ways of shopping. Two vitally important elements here are the public house and Tarsset Village Hall. For most people the Hall is the centre of the community.

One that is genuinely self-sufficient and does not rely on government funding to make projects work. This applies to commercial enterprises rather than ones that benefit the community. Jobs created must be real and developers that promise lots and deliver nothing should be held to account. Sustainable development from a Park Planning perspective seems to be a "Tick in the box"

A community which works together towards common goals of environmental sustainability, economic sustainability and a sustainable way of living. So to me, environmental sustainability should include decisions made about development allowed in the area v the environmental impact such developments would have both on the countryside and the community itself - such as impact on transport and service provision (i.e. water, sewerage, electricity, roads, carbon emissions, light pollution etc) All these aspects should be balanced to provide the best quality of life possible for existing and future residents whilst ensuring the least negative impact on the environment. Definition from a business perspective is very different from a community perspective

A settlement working to minimise their effect on the local landscape and ecosystems, whilst maintaining population

Tarsset and Greystead is a beautiful parish. We need to preserve the uniqueness of this parish but we also need to look to the future. We do not want T and G to become a place where people just come to retire. We need to continue to encourage young people/families to move here through providing employment and encouraging new business. however ALL development must be in keeping with the aesthetics of the parish which has not always been the case recently.

See C4 - protect the school, pub, church & village hall, enhance opportunities for young families. A sustainable community means one which is viable, growing and developing. Not a museum or an ageing 'rich retired population'. Not a well-kept park or fossilized as it is but developing the best but not disguising the uniqueness. A diverse living, working, retired, children population with a diverse range of jobs, employment. With basis of community organisation of village hall, pub, school and church. Access to internet and communications to enable those to live and work here. Development of alternative fuel options and home working in the changing environment and on-going fuel crisis. Any neighbourhood plan should enable and enhance community development as this community always has developed things themselves to meet needs & is one of its strengths.

One which grows / evolves but in a sensitive way without destroying the uniqueness & special qualities of the area.

Varied. Able to work & generate money. Everything follows on from above (i.e. Businesses need to be sustainable. Small volume, develop schemes that attract people in the 'off' seasons. Dark sky status achieved.) C10 Which of the following ways of producing local energy should the plan encourage? not answered as needs to be appropriate to the energy requirements & viewed on an individual basis.

Sustainable beyond the limits of the current demographic mix. The area is economically sustainable due to the current socio-economic grouping, but there are few younger people with families as there were 20 years ago. The profile of the valley and its sustainability in 20 years' time should be a major concern.

The phrase 'sustainable community' is over-used & means different things to different individuals & organisations. To me it is a question of balancing out the need of conservation, employment & energy use so as to enable the parish to retain its natural beauty, tranquillity & traditional housing styles & character, while at the same time enabling people to continue to live & work in a remote location at a time when fossil fuel energy is becoming scarce & transport costs are rising. Making use of alternative energy will enable the parish to face a future in which some forms of energy may eventually become unavailable, so home working & excellent internet access seem key components to promote for the future.

FAMILY (WWW.CULTUREINDECLINE.COM)! WE LIVE IN A NATIONAL PARK WHICH IS NATURES GIFT (THAT WE HAVEN'T SPOILT YET TOO BADLY) YOU WANT TO MAKE IT LIKE MANCHESTER OT BIRMINGHAM OR ANY OTHER GHETTO LOOK AT LANEHEAD AND ITS PAST DEVELOPMENT THAT'S WHY IT WAS CLEARED.

D1 How important is it for the Neighbourhood Plan to encourage the following types of employment?

Economic growth is unlikely to come from established sectors such as farming and forestry. New small ventures should be encouraged as those are the services of future prosperity and sustainability.

More flexible planning rule - common sense required.

Stone walling especially commended. Noted added that any shop should be on a small-scale

Note: crafts and agriculture singled out on form as of special significance via extra ticks/rings

Why is Tourism top of the list? We have a Pastel Maker plus Poetry publisher who employ more people(locals) in this parish.

Should encourage employment that emanates from within the community in ventures not dependent on people coming visiting to survive

Knowledge based sectors. Encourage all but let the market decide areas of success

There is little a neighbourhood plan can do here apart from promote live work units

a community shop, run sympathetically could support a network of growers, makers and tourist venues as well as provide milk and bread etc.

Does the plan cover agricultural building

If any further education could be offered in workshop, course form at the Village hall I think that would round out the offerings in the area well e.g. language, computer etc

None of the above important other than Forestry

Some of these I would have classified as just "important"

Encouraging local apprentices. Traditional building skills to be encouraged especially dry stone walling and proper house building i.e. stone built or at least stone cladding plus slates

Don't think that community shop is viable. Would like to explore community working.

Don't think that a community shop would be viable.

Need more businesses that are NOT reliant on locality - e.g. internet based businesses.

MOBILE SERVICES COLLECTING AND DELIVERING EVERYTHING

D5 What would encourage new businesses to locate within our Parish?

Tax relief

Tax breaks for small ventures.

Both the above are useful but not essential. Active encouragement from the Parish and National Park giving fiscal / start-up help.

Provide housing that includes a home and space for business resources to be used or stored within that property.

Don't know

We need more Unison Colour/Blood Axe Books

More open-mindedness.

A more friendly welcome and better understanding of the need to work together.

Mobile broadband (not fibre) Small office/workshop units.

Cheaper fuel for transport (RET) and central heating.

MOBILE reception please !

Any mobile reception would be good !

Financial help, i.e. start up grants. Broadband noted as possibly helpful.

Start-up grants

Grants or other types of financial help

Improved public transport

Maintained roads

Less red tape

Affordable housing, work spaces. It will be interesting to see what happens at Highgreen.

Better more improved transport routes

why only new business - existing businesses need these too

Appropriately trained workforce with access to suitable housing, appropriate accommodation for business.

live- work units. A good mix of age ranges in the local population

Vastly improved broadband - fibre-optics

Depends on business! Most would have a landline - no masts! (bad for tourist business)

Easier planning permission More efficient NP

Better snow clearance in general would help in our now more severe winters

Better snow clearing of roads used by businesses (e.g. High Green road and the Comb)

Both of the above would benefit existing businesses but designed specifically to encourage new businesses

No new business should be allowed to deface or desecrate the landscape

Anything which facilitates home working

MAINTAINED TRANSPORT NETWORK BASIC INFRASTRUCTURE (ROADS)

D6 Comments about employment in the Parish

There is very little!

With the forestry in decline and agriculture stagnant there is no jobs. Any incentive to create jobs will be a benefit to the area in the long run.

See C13. New start-up businesses must be encouraged, even to the point of actively initiating discussion about start-up areas.

Encourage self-employment particularly among the young.

Need to encourage self-employment; opportunities for younger people to engage in full time work within the locality at a FAIR rate of pay.

Consideration of local labour as this reduces travelling costs to all concerned.

Any efforts to encourage working from home are to be encouraged and promoted.

As fuel and living costs rise it will get to the point it gets so expensive to go to work it is not worth working.

Currently many people who can afford to live in the area commute long distances. More higher paid jobs would be better as many local jobs are minimum wage.

The days of everyone being employed near where they live and long gone ! The NP should encourage small scale self-employment and make small business set up easier by improving broadband/mobile phone and target FC to encourage entrepreneurial businesses.

Thank you. But do you think local views will matter when it comes to the govt's new planning proposals ?

Tourism and forest industry (new) such as pellet production are likely to be creating jobs in the future.

NOT ENOUGH.

Broadband is not fast enough for home working. Why do we need to encourage business into this area when Bellingham is only 4 miles away ?

Encouraging business to allow people to work from home and have access to broadband connectivity which is equal to that offered in cities. Rising petrol/diesel costs will make local employment more important in future.

Employment to be encouraged by improved broadband, phone reception and management of the never ending higher prices incurred for living in the countryside.

I foresee an ever increasing opportunity for professional and skilled people to work from home either as self-employed or for their employer and a fast reliable internet and mobile phone signal is vital for this.

Certain essential labour is in very short supply in the area and should be encouraged: agricultural & agricultural maintenance; work on woods and hedges; building maintenance; house cleaning; plumbing

Instead of encouraging tourism, we should be encouraging development and training in local skills, e.g. stone walling, plumbing, fencing, cleaning, moling

A community shop is a nice idea in theory, but do we have enough willing & able participants for a democratic shop?

We should encourage local jobs for local people. A suggestion was made by a man a couple of years ago at the Tarsset Village Hall about establishing a business around bio wood fuels - mainly wood pellets & wood chips. He suggested that with the natural resource on our doorsteps a number of different people could start up separate enterprises handling different aspects of the production from collection & transport, to storage & drying, to production of the end product, to marketing, sales & delivery. I thought this was a good idea.

Apprenticeship schemes are particularly important in sustaining a youthful presence in the community. Note: question D3 not filled in, but note added that 'it depends on circumstances'

Note: question D3 not answered, but note added: 'Depends on circumstances'

I don't think that people come to the parish expecting to find work, other than in forestry and agriculture. It would be pointless, and damaging to the environment to try to change the natural environment to create a demand for which there is no need.

The parish has many retired people who by their own efforts are protecting the 'status quo'. I think it is futile to try to create jobs in an area where there has only been jobs in agriculture and forestry. This is a circular argument - create more jobs will develop a need for more houses. This is developing rather than sustaining.

Very difficult for anyone new in the area to gain employment; bit of a 'jobs for the boys' mentality. And obscure companies that don't need staff.

Mr XXXXXXXXXX managed to create a business from his own home without any impact on the landscape. and a major benefit to the community. Who has the next brainwave.? Hopefully an independent who can think and plan for themselves without creating an eyesore.

Possible jobs within the forest. Less red tape (logs , etc)

It is important to encourage more small businesses. But by far the most important business is farming. Everything possible should be done to help and encourage farmers and the next generation of farmers without whom most of what is important in this place would disappear.

There is no point in encouraging employment within the parish if there are no houses for people to live in - we need more affordable housing.

There's probably more to be made from local craft working, use of natural resources etc some of us are discussing ways how wool working can be maximised - a fabulous insulator, abundant local commodity now back in vogue in clothing.

We need to encourage young people to live in the parish and this will only happen if we can encourage employers to accept more home working. Jobs associated with tourism need to be encouraged

remote and flexible working

Improved broadband and mobile reception will encourage home working thus reducing pollution

In the next few years Greenhaugh is likely to turn into a retirement village so a warden's house equipped with bells to all properties will be important.

Thinking about the next generation of employees I think apprenticeships schemes are important so young people don't have to travel away to find work (unless they want to)

Encourage employers such as Forestry Commission, Northumbrian Water, NCC to take on apprenticeships in the area.

Apprenticeships with local businesses - subsidised bus travel for young people

D5 would make much home working possible and could also encourage establishment of small business enterprises

I think improved broadband is ESSENTIAL in order to encourage new businesses in the area. Smaller businesses would encourage young people to stay or move into the area.

How can the Neighbourhood Plan foresee the future needs of employment in the area Support live work units

Apprenticeship schemes could be put into use in existing businesses and incoming ones. Job announcements could also be put on community website along with any other opportunities

My business at High Green suffers from the access roads from Diamond Cottage and Troughend not being ploughed or gritted. We lose work days with employees not being able to get to work and the business is hindered with delivery vans and post not reaching us. Also power cuts can cause major work problems. The Highways Dept. refuses to prioritise snow clearance to us despite our payment of Business rates.

It would be beneficial to support the local younger generation to take up self-employment in form of office work, home working etc - to encourage them to remain in area as opposed to bringing in new businesses from outside of area

Plus ca change! In the 18th & 19th Centuries people left Tasset to seek employment elsewhere, 'down south' or throughout the globe. Work locally has become progressively harder to get. Farming uses progressively less and less men. But we don't need an Egger in the area.

What is it? Where is it? Does it pay well?

Currently setting up a home based business and broadband which is in line with developments in it and web service provision is an essential part of that.

I believe that employment that encourages visitors and allows local people to work locally is very important. Carbon footprint would reduce without the need for people to commute.

Decide if land should have its current use changed to encourage employment - not sure - decisions made on merit. Community plan could: Promotion / support of community initiatives to meet needs of aging population & rural transport - homecare, transport? Lunch club, community visiting could be developed & provide local employment as well as farm apprenticeships & sympathetic tourism developments. Home working development to reduce travel to employment.

The choices in D1 are very limited and I know that the local community is much more varied and innovative about types of employment & self-employment.

Changes of use to existing & redundant buildings to encourage employment should be encouraged. However I am deeply opposed to the use of any open land being built on to aid employment, given

the outstanding natural beauty of the area. Investment in traditional skills should be actively encouraged.

GREAT PLACE TO LIVE AND WORK.....IF YOU ARE LUCKY www.thebleep.co.uk

[ends]